

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,464	07/24/2003	Alexandre Blais	701826-054280	1325
50828 DAVID S. RES	7590 09/07/200° SNICK	EXAMINER		
100 SUMMER STREET			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
NIXON PEABODY LLP BOSTON, MA 02110-2131			ART UNIT	· PAPER NUMBER
		•	1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/626,464	BLAIS, ALEXANDRE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alton N. Pryor	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Se	eptember 2006.	• •			
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
/	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
=	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
· —	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's arguments filed 9/29/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See discussion below. The restriction requirement dated 5/3/07 was improper since the previous examiner had examiner all of the claims in the previous office action dated 9/29/06. For this reason the restriction requirement has been withdrawn and a rejection based on Applicant's response of 9/29/06 is set-forth below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of Burnham et al (US 6841515), Burnham et al (US 5853450) and Kimura (US 5093262).

Burnham teaches the production of biosolid granules for use as fertilizers (abstract).

Burnham et al teach the utility of incorporating wastewater or bioorganic sludge including microbial matter in fertilizer compositions.

Kimura teaches methods of incorporating nitrogen fixing bacillus material into dried fertilizer compositions containing conventional NPK fertilizer materials (column 6).

Art Unit: 1616

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine these references because they teach the utility of combining microbial materials into fertilizer compositions.

Thus it would have been prima facie obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to have made a granular fertilizer composition comprising a bacterial fermentation product because the prior art teaches solid fertilizer compositions comprising known NPK fertilizer components in combination with bacterial materials.

## Response to Applicant's argument

The applicant argues:

The document of Burnham teaches a method of production of encapsulated and / or concentrically-constructed fertilizer for controlled or delayed release properties.

Burnham teaches away from the present application since the present application is claiming a fertilizer wherein the bacteria are active immediately, i.e., readily available and without lag time.

Nowhere in Burnham is there a teaching or suggestion of method of producing a fertilizer comprising the step of mixing a granular fertilizer with a ferment comprising active bacteria, wherein bacteria are obtained from a fermentation stopped before bacteria get into a dormant stage and therefore have no lag time upon rehydration.

The present application involves cooling down the ferment prior to being mixed with the granular fertilizer.

Nowhere in Kimura is there any teaching, or even a suggestion, of a method of producing a fertilizer comprising the step of mixing a granular fertilizer with a ferment

Application/Control Number: 10/626,464

Art Unit: 1616

comprising a fermentation stopped before bacteria reach a dormant stage and thus have a log time upon rehydration.

The examiner argues:

The Burnham reference (US '515) alone makes the instant invention obvious.

Burnham (US 5853450) and Kimura (US 5093262) are withdrawn from the rejection since neither teaches fermentation stopped. Burnham (US '515) biosolids do not require encapsulation and would therefore allow the bacteria to be available in active form. Note Burnham recites that the biosolids "can be" encapsulated (encapsulation not required).

See column 4 lines 61-65, column 6 lines 10-23.

Burnham (US '515) teaches a bacterial fermentation sludge that is equivalent to the bacteria being obtained from fermentation stopped before bacteria is in a dormant stage. Note the bacteria are added at lower or cool temperature to the composition. See column 5 lines 30-46.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1616

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

## Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616